

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA**

<b>UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,</b>	)	
	)	<b>8:11CR424</b>
<b>Plaintiff,</b>	)	
	)	
<b>vs.</b>	)	<b>ORDER</b>
	)	
<b>JUAN CARLOS GARCIA,</b>	)	
	)	
<b>Defendant.</b>	)	

This matter is before the court on the motion for an extension of time by defendant Juan Carlos Garcia (Garcia) (Filing No. 55). Garcia seeks until February 20, 2012, in which to file pretrial motions in accordance with the progression order. Garcia's counsel represents that Garcia will file an affidavit wherein he consents to the motion and acknowledges he understands the additional time may be excludable time for the purposes of the Speedy Trial Act. Garcia's counsel represents that government's counsel has no objection to the motion. Upon consideration, the motion will be granted.

**IT IS ORDERED:**

Defendant Garcia's motion for an extension of time (Filing No. 55) is granted. Garcia is given until **on or before February 21, 2012**, in which to file pretrial motions pursuant to the progression order. The ends of justice have been served by granting such motion and outweigh the interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. The additional **time** arising as a result of the granting of the motion, i.e., **the time between February 3, 2012, and February 21, 2012**, shall be deemed **excludable** time in any computation of time under the requirement of the Speedy Trial Act for the reason defendant's counsel requires additional time to adequately prepare the case, taking into consideration due diligence of counsel, and the novelty and complexity of this case. The failure to grant additional time might result in a miscarriage of justice. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) & (B).

DATED this 3rd day of February, 2012.

BY THE COURT:

s/Thomas D. Thalken  
United States Magistrate Judge